

To: Echeverria, Marietta[Echeverria.Marietta@epa.gov]; Pease, Anita[Pease.Anita@epa.gov]; Nesci, Kimberly[Nesci.Kimberly@epa.gov]
Cc: Dinkins, Darlene[Dinkins.Darlene@epa.gov]
From: Keigwin, Richard
Sent: Sun 6/4/2017 2:39:38 PM
Subject: Comments on the ESA Slide Desk
ESA Beck Briefing 6 2 2017 draft RPK.pptx

Some comments and suggestions for reorganization. I think we have a little bit of time on this; the new ag advisor isn't starting this week.

From: Echeverria, Marietta
Sent: Friday, June 02, 2017 2:25 PM
To: Keigwin, Richard <Keigwin.Richard@epa.gov>; Pease, Anita <Pease.Anita@epa.gov>; Nesci, Kimberly <Nesci.Kimberly@epa.gov>
Cc: Dinkins, Darlene <Dinkins.Darlene@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: 2 Items from yesterday's OPP Staff Meeting

Rick,

Attached for your review is the ESA briefing. The modeling/monitoring "one" pager has turned into 5 pages. Anita and I have quite a few comments. I'd like to give it to you early next week once it's in better shape. Let me know if you need it sooner (I could clean it up and send you what we have).

Thanks,

Marietta

From: Keigwin, Richard
Sent: Wednesday, May 24, 2017 6:24 AM
To: Echeverria, Marietta <Echeverria.Marietta@epa.gov>; Pease, Anita <Pease.Anita@epa.gov>; Nesci, Kimberly <Nesci.Kimberly@epa.gov>
Cc: Dinkins, Darlene <Dinkins.Darlene@epa.gov>
Subject: 2 Items from yesterday's OPP Staff Meeting

Two things to add to your plate (sorry!):

- As early as June 5th, Nancy Beck is trying to schedule a briefing for the new EPA ag advisor (the person's first day is expected to be June 5th) on ESA. Can EFED take the lead in developing a background briefing/PowerPoint that describes the issues, where we've been, the NAS report, progress since the NAS report, litigation and settlements, the challenges we are facing, and the concerns expressed from stakeholders? We'll probably need to get Nancy a draft for her review no later than June 1st. I suspect we have a background document that covers most of these topics that just needs to be refreshed.

- Models vs. Monitoring: Could EFED develop a one-pager on the topic of the use of models vs. monitoring data in our risk assessments, why we typically haven't been including monitoring data quantitatively in our risk assessments, and the efforts that we have underway to either refine models (e.g., SEAWAVE-Q) or apply bias factors to monitoring data? Describing some of the history with the various SAPs/upcoming SAPs would probably be helpful. The Administrator has taken a lot of interest in this topic. It is becoming a common theme he is hearing as he meets with different grower organizations. Same timeframe: June 1st.

Thanks!

Rick Keigwin

Acting Director, Office of Pesticide Programs

US Environmental Protection Agency